

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

INTERNAL USE ONLY

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT. ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSARY

TITLE IN FULL: Vernell Lundberg, et al. v. County of Humboldt, et al.		DISTRICT: Northern, CA JUDGE: Illston, S.	
		DATE COMPLAINT FILED: 10-30-97	DISTRICT COURT DOCKET NUMBER: C97-03989 SI
		DATE NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: 9-7-05	IS THIS A CROSS-APPEAL? YES _____ NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HAS THIS MATTER BEEN BEFORE THIS COURT PREVIOUSLY? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES _____ NO IF YES STATE WHEN:			
CASE NAME: Headwaters Forest Defense v. County of Humboldt CITATION: 276 F.3d 1125 DOCKET NUMBER: 98-17250			
CHECK AS MANY AS APPLY			
JURISDICTION		DISTRICT COURT DISPOSITION	
1 FEDERAL	2 APPELLATE	1 STAGE OF PROCEEDINGS	2 TYPE OF JUDGMENT/ ORDER APPEALED
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FEDERAL QUESTION <input type="checkbox"/> DIVERSITY <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL DECISION OF DISTRICT COURT <input type="checkbox"/> INTERLOCUTORY DECISION APPEALABLE AS OF RIGHT <input type="checkbox"/> INTERLOCUTORY ORDER CERTIFIED BY DISTRICT JUDGE (SPECIFY) <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY)	<input type="checkbox"/> PRE-TRIAL <input type="checkbox"/> DURING TRIAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AFTER TRIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> DEFAULT JUDGMENT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JUDGMENT/COURT DECISION <input type="checkbox"/> DISMISSAL/JURISDICTION <input type="checkbox"/> JUDGMENT/JURY VERDICT <input type="checkbox"/> DISMISSAL/MERITS <input type="checkbox"/> SUMMARY JUDGMENT <input type="checkbox"/> JUDGMENT NOV <input type="checkbox"/> DECLARATORY JUDGMENT <input type="checkbox"/> DIRECTED VERDICT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY)
		3 RELIEF	
		<input type="checkbox"/> DAMAGES: AMOUNT SOUGHT \$ N/A AMOUNT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GRANTED: <input type="checkbox"/> DENIED: \$ 1.00 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INJUNCTIONS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRELIMINARY OR <input type="checkbox"/> PERMANENT <input type="checkbox"/> GRANTED OR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DENIED	

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF NATURE OF ACTION AND RESULT BELOW:

This case involves a claim of excessive force under 42 U.S.C. section 1983. Following two mistrials (hung juries) the third trial resulted in a jury verdict of one dollar (\$1.00) for each plaintiff. The Court denied the defendants' post-trial motions and granted plaintiffs' motion for entitlement to attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs' motion with respect to the amount of fees to be awarded is currently pending in the District Court.

ISSUES PROPOSED TO BE RAISED ON APPEAL:

Appellate issues include, but are not limited to, entitlement to attorneys' fees following a nominal damage award, excessive force (Fourth Amendment) and qualified immunity.

BASED ON YOUR PRESENT KNOWLEDGE:

Does this appeal involve a question of first impression? Yes No

Will the determination of this appeal turn on the interpretation or application of a particular case or statute? Yes No If yes, provide

Case name/status: Farrar v. Hobby
42 U.S.C. section 1988
Citation: 506 U.S. 103 (1992)
Docket number, if unreported: _____

Is there any case now pending or about to be filed in this court or any other court or administrative agency which:

- a) Arises from substantially the same case or controversy as this appeal?
 Yes No
- b) Involves an issue that is substantially the same, similar or related to an issue in this appeal?
 Yes No

Case name: _____
Citation: _____
Court or Agency: _____
Docket number, if unreported: _____

Will this appeal involve a conflict of law within the Ninth Circuit?
 Yes No

Among circuits? Yes No

If yes, explain briefly:
Viability of excessive force claim
without physical or mental injury, or
compensable pain.

DOES THIS APPEAL INVOLVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:

- Possibility of settlement;
- Likelihood of a motion to expedite the appeal;
- Multiple parties on either side for whom joint briefing is possible;
- Likelihood of motions to intervene on appeal;
- Likelihood of motions to file amicus briefs;
- Likelihood of motions to stay appeal pending resolution of a related case. Identify case name, docket number and court or agency:

- Other procedural complexities:

COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT(S):

NAME: Nancy K. Delaney
William F. Mitchell

FIRM: MITCHELL, BRISSO, DELANEY & VRIEZE
ADDRESS: 814 Seventh Street
Eureka, CA 95501

TELEPHONE: (707) 443-5643

I CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THIS CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT OR THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, AND THAT IT WAS SERVED ON EACH PARTY/COUNSEL SHOWN ON THE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST.

Nancy K. Delaney Sept 07, 2005
SIGNATURE DATE

REMEMBER TO ATTACH COPIES OF ORDER/JUDGMENT APPEALED FROM AND SERVICE LIST WITH TELEPHONE NUMBERS